Catalytic Asymmetric Difunctionalization of Stable Tertiary Enamides with Salicylaldehydes: Highly Efficient, Enantioselective, and Diastereoselective Synthesis of Diverse 4‑Chromanol Derivatives

Ling He,† Liang Zhao,‡ De-Xian Wang,† and Mei-Xiang Wang*,‡

† Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, CAS Key Laboratory of [Mo](#page-3-0)lecular Recognition and Function, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

‡ MOE Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Phosphorus Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100184, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-3-0)STRACT: [Catalyzed by](#page-3-0) a chiral BINOL-Ti(OiPr)₄ complex, various stable tertiary enamides reacted with salicylaldehydes to afford diverse cis,trans-configured 4-chromanols that contain three continuous stereogenic centers in good yields with excellent diastereoselectivity and enantioselectivity. The reaction proceeded through the addition of enamide to aldehyde followed by the intramolecular interception of the resulting iminium by the hydroxy group. Oxidation of the resulting 4-chromanols yielded

almost quantitatively chroman-4-one derivatives which underwent diastereospecific reduction with NaBH₄ to produce *cis,cis*configured 4-chromanols.

As the members of the dihydrobenzopyran family, 4-
chromanol and chroman-4-one derivatives occur as natural
and sumthotic products of various highorical activities and synthetic products of various biological activities.¹ Perinadine A, a complex alkaloid isolated recently from marine-derived fungus Penicillium citrinum, for example, featur[es](#page-3-0) a pyrrolidine-fused 4-chromanol core structure.² Although the syntheses of 4-chromanols and chroman-4-ones are well d ocumented, $1,3$ de novo synthesis of enantiopu[re](#page-3-0) 4-chromanol and chroman-4-one derivatives under asymmetric catalysis is exceedingly [rar](#page-3-0)e. It is challenging and desirable to develop a diversity-orientated synthesis of functionalized 4-chromanols and chroman-4-ones that assemble the core structure of natural products.

Enamines 4 have found wide applications in organic synthesis owing to Stork's landmark work in the 1950s.⁵ When one of the N-alkyl gr[ou](#page-3-0)ps of enamines is replaced by an electronwithdrawing group such as acyl, tertiary ena[mid](#page-3-0)es are generated. Further substitution of the other N-alkyl by hydrogen gives rise to the formation of secondary enamides (Figure 1). While secondary enamides are active aza-ene components,⁶ tertiary enamides exhibit diminished nucleophilicity because the Nelectron-withdrawing group alleviates the delocalizatio[n](#page-3-0) of lonepair electrons of the nitrogen atom into the carbon−carbon double bond.7−⁹ This has been exemplified by a plethora of

Pigure 1. Enamine and tertiary and secondary enamides.
Published: October 12, 2014
Published: October 30, 2014

examples using enamides as a testing ground for the study of asymmetric hydrogenation of the carbon−carbon double bond.⁸ Only when reacted with highly electrophilic reagents such as iminium,^{10,11} acyl chloride,¹⁰ and oxonium,¹² tertiary enamid[es](#page-3-0) behave as nucleophiles.

Howe[ver, t](#page-3-0)he notion th[at](#page-3-0) tertiary enami[de](#page-3-0)s are stable, silent enamines and marginally valuable chemical entities has been challenged recently.^{13−17} We proposed several years ago that the enabled regulation of a cross conjugation system of an enamide moiety by means [of the](#page-3-0) electronic and steric effects of the substituents attached on enamide segment C=C−N−CO and the reaction conditions would rejuvenate the nucleophilicity of a tertiary enamide. This strategy has guided us to accomplish the intramolecular nucleophilic reactions of tertiary enamides with some electrophiles, $13-17$ providing novel methods for the synthesis of N-heterocyclic compounds. However, almost all synthetic applicatio[ns](#page-3-0) o[f t](#page-3-0)ertiary enamides reported to date are monofunctionalizations via deprotonation of the putative acylated iminium intermediates which are generated from the initial nucleophilic reaction of enamides $^{11-17^{\circ}}$ (Figure 2, previous works). We envisaged that interception of the iminiums by a nucleophile would afford difunctionaliz[ed](#page-3-0) [pro](#page-3-0)ducts. [Var](#page-1-0)ious ring structures would be constructed from difunctionalizations if amphiphilic reagents were used (Figure 2, this work). To continue our studies on the exploration of new chemistry for stable tertiary enamides, we designed an un[pre](#page-1-0)cedented tandem reaction strategy for the construction of the 4-chromanol framework. The key steps comprise an intermolecular

Received: October 12, 2014

Figure 2. Strategic designs based on reactivity of tertiary enamides.

nucleophilic addition of a tertiary enamide to benzaldehyde followed by an intramolecular trap for the iminiun by the phenol group. We were pleased to discover that, in the presence of chiral BINOL and $Ti(OiPr)₄$, the reaction between tertiary enamides and salicylaldehydes indeed provides a highly enantio- and diastereoselective synthesis of diverse 4-chromanol derivatives which contain three continuous stereogenic centers.

As a prelude of asymmetric catalysis, we first tested an achiral Lewis acid catalyzed reaction of tertiary enamide 1a with salicyladehyde $2a$. After screening and optimization, $SnCl₂$ was found to catalyze the reaction efficiently under mild conditions, affording a mixture of *cis, trans-* and *cis, cis-configured* pyyrolidinefused 4-chromanols 3a and 4a with a dr (3a:4ab) of 15 to 1 (Tables S1 and S2). The $SnCl₂$ -catalyzed reaction was extended to other tertiary enamides and salicylaldehydes, producing r[acemic products](#page-3-0) 3 diastereoselectively in good yields (Table S3).

The outcomes of the $SnCl₂$ -catalyzed reaction encoura[ged us](#page-3-0) [to](#page-3-0) attempt asymmetric catalysis with the focus on chiral Pybox- $SnX₂$ complexes C1 to C4 (Figure 3). Unfortunately, although

these metal complexes were able to effect diastereoselective reaction of 1a with 2a, the enantioselectivity was appallingly low with product ee's being 0−31% (entries 1−4, Table S4, Supporting Information). The chiral salen−metal complexes C5−C7, efficient catalysts for catalyzing the enantioselective [addition of tertiary enam](#page-3-0)ides to ketones, $14a$ were found to be entirely inactive for the intermolecular reaction between 1a and 2a (entries 5−7, Table S4, Supporting Inf[orm](#page-3-0)ation).

We then turned our attention to the catalytic systems derived from chiral BINOL and $Ti(OiPr)_4$.¹⁸ Based on a previous study,¹⁵ a mixture of chiral [BINOL](#page-3-0) [and](#page-3-0) Ti $(OiPr)_4 (2:1)$ with a 10 mol % loading was first tested as cat[aly](#page-3-0)st C8 under anhydrous condi[tio](#page-3-0)ns. As tabulated in Table 1, the reaction at ambient temperature in DCM showed high diastereoselectivity, but moderate efficiency and enantioselectively were observed (entry 1, Table 1). Catalysts C9 and C10 which were prepared from modified BINOL derivatives (Figure 3) exhibited disappoint-

Table 1. Optimization of the Chiral BINOL−Ti Complex C8- Catalyzed Reaction of 1a with $2a^a$

COPh		conditions OН		ŌН		ŌΗ	COPh
1a	2a			3a	COPh	4a	
entry	catalyst ^b	solvent	temp $(^\circ C)$	time (h)	$3a+4a$ $(\%)^c$	$3a:4a^d$	3a (ee) $(\%)^e$
1	C8	DCM	rt	111	52	>20:1	57.7
$\mathbf{2}$	C8	THF	rt	86	30	11:1	28.5
3	C8	MeCN	rt	86	59	10:1	24.3
$\overline{4}$	C8	C_6H_6	rt	110	72	>20:1	72.1
5	C8	PhMe	rt	86	50	>20:1	56.8
6	C8	o-xylene	rt	70	59	>20:1	50.8
7	C8	o -xylene \overline{f}	rt	48	74	>20:1	85.2
8	C8	p -xylene	rt	70	66	>20:1	60.8
9	C8	p -xylene f	rt	94	76	>20:1	81.1
10	C8	m -xylene f	rt	110	80	>20:1	76.7
11	C8: H ₂ O (5)	o-xylene	rt	120	67	>20:1	87.2
12	C8: H ₂ O (10)	o-xylene	rt	24	65	>20:1	93.7
13	C8: H ₂ O (20)	o -xylene	rt	24	67	>20:1	97.3
14	C8: H ₂ O (40)	o-xylene	rt	24	67	>20:1	97.6
15	C8: H ₂ O (80)	o-xylene	rt	24	59	>20:1	97.9
16	C8: H ₂ O (100)	o-xylene	rt	24	53	>20:1	98.3
17	C8: H ₂ O (20)	o-xylene	-5	64	55	>20:1	95.2
18	C8: H ₂ O (20)	o-xylene	30	17	66	>20:1	97.3
19	C8:H ₂ O (20)	o-xylene	40	17	98	>20:1	96.5
20	C8: H ₂ O (20)	o-xylene	50	17	93	>20:1	96.0
21	C8: H ₂ O (20)	o-xylene	60	17	76	>20:1	84.4
22	C8: H ₂ O $(20)^g$	o-xylene	40	24	89	>20:1	95.6
23	C8: H ₂ O $(20)^h$	o-xylene	40	48	84	>20:1	96.5
24	C8: H ₂ O $(20)^t$	o-xylene	40	17	90	>20:1	-96.8^{j}
λ		\prime . \cdot	\mathbf{r}		\sim \sim	\sim \sim \sim	

^a **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (1 mmol), and Ti-complex (10 mol %) were used.
^bH₂O (x mol % relative to **1a**) was used. ^cIsolated yield. ^dDetermined by ¹H NMR. ^{*e*} Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^{*f*}Solvent was used without drying with sodium. g Catalyst loading was 5 mol %. h Catalyst loading was 1 mol %. $i(S)$ -BINOL was used. j *Ent*-3a was obtained.

ingly deteriorated catalytic activity and enantioselectivity (entries 8−9, Table S4, Supporting Information). To improve the catalytic performance of C8, the solvent effect was examined. While polar solv[ents such as THF and](#page-3-0) $CH₃CN$ were not favorable (entries 2 and 3, Table 1), employment of nonpolar solvents including benzene, toluene, and xylene appeared beneficial, increasing the yield and enantioselectivity (entries 4 to 6, Table 1). Astonishingly, when moistened o-xylene was used accidentally, the reaction proceeded rapidly to give 3a with 85.2% ee (entry 7, Table 1).

Scrutiny of water effect¹⁹ as summarized in entries 11 to 16, Table 1, revealed that addition of water in a range of 5 to 100 mol % led to a dramatic impr[ove](#page-3-0)ment in enantioselectivity, with the

ee of 3a increasing from 87.2% to 98.3%. It should be noted that the chemical yield of 3a was slightly corroded if water exceeded 80 mol % in the reaction (entries 15 and 16, Table 1). The reaction was expectedly facilitated at elevated temperatures. When the reaction was performed at 40−50 °C, product 3a was isolated almost quantitatively with ee's up to 96.5% (e[nt](#page-1-0)ries 19 and 20, Table 1). Higher temperature caused a decrease of enantioselectivity (entry 21, Table 1) whereas lower temperature resulted in a sl[ow](#page-1-0) reaction (entry 17, Table 1). The catalytic asymmetric reaction could also [pr](#page-1-0)oceed effectively when the titanium loading was lowered to 1−5 mol %, a[lbe](#page-1-0)it an elongated reaction period was required (entries 22 and 23, Table 1). The use of (S)-BINOL as a chiral ligand gave rise to the formation of ent-3a in 90% yield and 96.8% ee (entry 24, Table 1).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we then te[ste](#page-1-0)d the scope and limitations of the catalytic asymmetric s[yn](#page-1-0)thesis of 4 chromanol derivatives. The outcomes compiled in Scheme 1

a Ee values in parentheses are obtained after recrystallization.

show that all salicyladehydes 2a−o underwent an asymmetric reaction with enamide 1a. When 5-substituted salicyladehydes 2b−i were employed, irrespective of the nature of the 5 substituents, the reaction proceeded efficiently to yield products 3b−i in high yields with excellent enantio- and diastereoselectivity. Functional groups including cyano, ester, and carbon− halogen bonds were well tolerated. Disubstituted reactants such as 4,6-dichloro- and 3,5-dibromo-salicyladehydes reacted equally well to afford 3j and 3k. Product 3o of high enantiomeric purity was synthesized from 1-hydroxy-2-naphthaldehyde 2o. While the catalytic reaction of 4-bromo-salicyladehyde 2l with 1a showed the same level of efficiency and enantio- and diastereoselectivities, 4-methoxy-salicyladehyde 2m reacted very sluggishly to form product 3m in 39% yield with poor diastereo- and enantioselectivity. A diminished reaction rate and enantioselectivity were also observed from the reaction of hydroxylated piperonaldehyde 2n, which gave product 3n in 27% yield and 81.9% ee. Consistent with the $SnCl₂$ -catalyzed reaction, a slow rate observed for substrates 2m and 2n in the asymmetric catalysis reflecting the strong substituent effect of the electrondonating alkoxy which reduces the reactivity of aldehyde. It was observed that the 4-alkoxy group on salicyladehyde decreased selectivity in the asymmetric catalysis (Scheme 1).

Enamides 1 bearing other N-substituents were also able to undergo a catalytic asymmetric reaction with salicyladehydes. The catalytic efficiency, enantioselectivity, and diastereoselectivity of the reaction were, however, dependent upon the nature of the N-substituents. All N-aroyl-substituted enamides 1a $(R¹ =$ Ph), 1b (\mathbb{R}^1 = 4-ClC₆H₄), and 1c (4-MeOC₆H₄), irrespective of the presence of an electron-withdrawing or -donating group on the benzene ring, reacted efficiently with 2d to yield the corresponding products 3a, 3p, and 3q with a high level of enantio- and diastereo-selections. It is worth noting that when the N-aroyl group (1a−c) was replaced by an N-Ac or N-Cbz substituent, substrates 1d and 1e led to the highly efficient and diastereoselective reaction albeit the enantioselectivity was drastically decreased. Obviously, N-aroyl substituents on tertiary enamides displayed salient advantages over other groups in enantiocontrol.

The catalytic asymmetric reaction was readily expanded to other heterocyclic and acyclic enamides. Figure 4 shows for

example the synthesis of piperidine-fused 4-chromanol 3s in 65% yield with 95.7% ee from a six-membered heterocyclic tertiary enamide 1f. A longer reaction time was required owing most likely to the lower nucleophilicity of 1f in comparison to 1a. Acyclic tertiary enamide 1g reacted analogously with 2d to produce 2-benzamidochroman-4-ol 3t in 52% yield with 99.1% ee. The decreased diastereoselectivity (dr 11:1 and dr 7:1 respectively) in these cases was probably due to the steric difference of these enamides from the five-membered heterocyclic ones. It was notable that, under the reaction conditions, 2 benzamidochroman-4-ol 3u was stable and it did not undergo a

deamination reaction to form the 4H-chromen-4-ol compound. The catalytic asymmetric reaction was scalable. This was evidenced for instance by the high-yielding reaction of 1a (5 mmol) with salicylaldehydes 2a, 2d, and 2h which afforded the gram-scale products 3a (1.33 g, 96.7% ee), 3d (1.51 g, 95.7% ee), and 3h (1.29 g, 95.1% ee), respectively, without decreases in enantio- and diastereoselectivity (Figure 5). To demonstrate the synthetic potential of the method, synthesis of chroman-4-one derivatives 5 and their subsequent conve[rsi](#page-3-0)on into compounds 4,

Figure 5. Synthesis of 5 and their conversion to 4.

which are diastereomers of 3, were implemented. As shown in Figure 5, oxidation of pyrrolidine-fused 4-chromanols 3a, 3d, and 3h with PCC in DCM at rt gave the corresponding chroman-4 ones 5 in excellent yields. Governed by the steric effect of the cisfused pyrrolidine ring, facile reduction of the carbonyl group of 5 with NaBH₄ yielded almost quantitatively *cis,cis*-configured 4chromanols 4 (Figure 6) as the sole diastereomers. The slightly

Figure 6. X-ray structure of (3aR, 4R, 9aS)-3d (left) and (3aR, 4S, 9aS)- 4d (right).

lower ee values of chroman-4-ones 5 compared to that of the reactants 3 were attributed to the use of starting materials that contain a tiny amount of minor diastereomer 4 of a lower ee. The enantiomeric purity of the final products 4 was further improved to 95.5%−98.3% after recrystallization (see Supporting Information).

In summary, we have established a general and efficient chiral $BINOL-Ti(OiPr)₄$ -catalyzed reaction of tertiary enamides with salicylaldehydes under mild conditions. The reaction proceeds through the enaminic addition of enamide to aldehyde and the interception of the resulting iminium by the phenolic hydroxy group to afford diverse 4-chromanol derivatives in high yields with excellent enantio- and diastereoselectivity. The synthetic potential of the method has been demonstrated by facile transformation of cis,trans-configured pyrrolidine-fused 4 chromanols into chroman-4-ones upon oxidation and then to cis,cis-configured 4-chromanol diastereomers after diastereoselective reduction. The present study opens a new avenue to the divergent synthesis of functional molecules based on difunctionalizations of unique tertiary enamide synthons. The catalytic mechanism is being actively perused in this laboratory, and the results will be reported in due course.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Characterization and spectroscopic data, X-ray structures (cif files). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: wangmx@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge NNSFC (21320102002) for financial support.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Chromenes, chromanones, and chromones; Ellis, G. P., Ed.; John Willew & Sons, Inc.: 1977. (b) Saengchantara, S. T.; Wallace, T. W. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1986, 465.

(2) Sasaki, M.; Tsuda, M.; Sekiguchi, M.; Mikami, Y.; Kobayashi, J. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4261.

(3) (a) Nibbs, A. E.; Scheidt, K. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 449. (b) Zhao, D.; Beiring, B.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8454 and references cited therein.

(4) The Chemistry of Enamines; Rappoport, Z., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Chichester, U.K., 1994.

(5) (a) Stork, G.; Terrell, R.; Szmuszkovicz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 2029. (b) Stork, G.; Landesman, H. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 5128.

(6) For an overview, see: (a) Matsubara, R.; Kobayashi, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 292. For selected recent examples of the reactions of secondary enamides, see: (b) Terada, M.; Machioka, K.; Sorimachi, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2254. (c) Terada, M.; Machioka, K.; Sorimachi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10336. (d) Liu, H.; Dagousset, G.; Masson, G.; Retailleau, P.; Zhu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4598. (e) Dagousset, G.; Zhu, J.; Masson, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 14804.

- (7) Carbery, D. R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 3455.
- (8) Gopalaiah, K.; Kagan, H. B. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4599.

(9) For some recent examples of reactions of tertiary enamides, see: (a) Pankajakshan, S.; Xu, Y.-H.; Cheng, J. K.; Low, M. T.; Loh, T.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5701. (b) Liu, Y.; Li, D.; Park, C.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7333. (c) Gourdet, B.; Lam, H. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8733.

(10) Suga, S.; Nishida, T.; Yamada, D.; Nagaki, A.; Yoshida, J.-i. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 14338.

(11) Shono, T.; Matsumura, Y.; Tsubata, K.; Sugihara, Y.; Yamane, S. i.; Kanazawa, T.; Aoki, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6697.

(12) Cossey, K. N.; Funk, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12216.

(13) (a) L; Yang, L.; Zheng, Q.-Y.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Wang, M.-X. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2461. (b) Yang, L.; Tong, S.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. Synlett 2011, 927.

(14) (a) Yang, L.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Wang, M.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10390. (b) Yang, L.; Lei, C.-H.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Wang, M.-X. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3918.

(15) Tong, S.; Wang, D.-X.; Zhao, L.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4417.

(16) Tong, S.; Yang, X.; Wang, D.-X.; Zhao, L.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 6492.

(17) (a) Lei, C.-H.; Wang, D.-X.; Zhao, L.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4708. (b) Lei, C.-H.; Wang, D.-X.; Zhao, L.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 16981.

(18) Balsells, J.; Davis, T. J.; Carroll, P.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10336.

(19) Bao, H.; Zhou, J.; Wang, Z.; Guo, Y.; You, T.; Ding, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10116 and references cited therein.